TUHEP-TH-07158

arXiv:0704.2172v1 [hep-ph] 17 Apr 2007

Electroweak Chiral Lagrangian for Left-right Symmetric Models

Ying Zhang[1], Shun-Zhi Wang[1], Feng-Jun Ge[1], Qing Wang[1,2]

[1]*Department of Physics,Tsinghua University,Beijing 100084,P.R.China*[*]

[2]*Center for High Energy Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P.R.china*

(Dated: April 17, 2007)

Abstract

The complete list of electroweak chiral Lagrangian up to order of p^4 for left-right symmetric models with a neutral light higgs is provided. The connection of these operators to left and right gauge boson mixings and masses is made and their contribution to conventional generalized electroweak chiral Lagrangian with a neutral light higgs included in is estimated.

PACS number(s): 12.60-i, 11.30.Qc, 11.30.Rd, 13.10.+q

---

[*] mailing address

Although Standard Model (SM) is proved to be very successful, higgs particle is missing in the real world which implies that electroweak symmetry breaking mechanism (EWSBM) is still not known. SM provides us a version of EWSBM through higgs boson which suffers from triviality and unnaturalness problems. Beyond SM, various new physics models are invented which exhibite many alternative EWSBMs. A class of them are models with muti-higgs bosons and the common feature of these models is the existence of a light neutral higgs boson, this light higgs is responsible for unitarizing the longitudinal electroweak gauge boson scattering amplitudes. The most general description of this class of models is a non-linear realized chiral Lagrangian with a light higgs included in. This chiral Lagrangian is already written down in Ref.[1] and it is formally equivalent to linearized version of chiral Lagrangian with higgs and three goldstone bosons which form a complex doublet representation of electroweak symmetry. It is the generalization of conventional well-known nonlinear version of electroweak chiral Lagrangian (EWCL) [2, 3] by adding in theory a neutral higgs and was called extended electroweak chiral Lagrangian (EEWCL) in Ref.[1] which offers the most general description of electro-weak interaction with a neutral higgs at low energy region. The symmetry realization pattern for EEWCL is $SU(2)_{\rm L}\otimes U(1)_{\rm Y}\rightarrow U(1)_{\rm em}$ and bosonic fields of the theory involving electroweak interaction are higgs field h, photon field A, weak gauge fields $W^{\pm}$, Z^0 and three goldstone bosons which will be eaten out to become longitudinal components of $W^{\pm}, Z^0$ and then give them masses due to Higgs mechanism. Within EEWCL, new physics models with light higgs at low energy region can be parameterized by a set of coefficients, it universally describes all possible electro-weak interactions among existing particles and undiscovered neutral light higgs, it offers a model independent platform for us to investigate various kinds EWSBMs.

Except unknown EWSBM, another puzzle of electroweak interaction is due to its chirality, the left-right non-symmetric interaction explicitly violate parity symmetry. The beauty of the symmetry and past successes of electromagnetic unification and electroweak unification driven some of us believe that the underlying elementary interaction should be symmetric under parity transformation and then at certain higher energy scale, parity symmetry should be recovered. This inspires the idea of spontaneous parity violation (SPV) and people then is interested in generalizing conventional electroweak interaction model to left-right symmetric models of electroweak interactions [4]. If the interactions responsible for SPV become strong at TeV energy region, we are possible to find experiment evidences in next generation high energy colliders and realize joint investigation both on EWSBM and SPV at TeV energy region. Admit left-right symmetry requires doubling those particles originally participate left hand electroweak interactions. So at least the gauge fields involving electroweak interaction are doubled by adding to original left handed $W_L^{\pm}$, Z_L^0 and three corresponding goldstone bosons with their right handed ones. In this paper we discuss a situation that beyond the particles already discovered in past high energy experiments, the lightest new particles are a light neutral higgs and right hand $W_R^{\pm}$, Z_R^0 and their goldstone bosons. All other new particles are heavier than right hand $W_R^{\pm}$, Z_R^0. Then below the threshold of these more heavier particles, if we want to setup a model independent description for all possible left-right symmetric interactions, we are leading to the demand of building a chiral Lagrangian for left-right symmetric models. It is the purpose of this work to construct such a Lagrangian. This Lagrangian will be the most general and economic low energy description of the various left-right-symmetric models for the case that only one neutral higgs is lighter than $W_R^{\pm}$, Z_R^0, since all different EWSBMs and SPV mechanisms reside in the parameters of the Lagrangian which enable us to evade the details of spontaneous symmetry breaking mechanism. This Lagrangian will provide us larger parameter space than any of detail left-right symmetric models, it will be more flexible than detail models when we use it to compare with exiting experiment data and then to perform more complete test for the possibility of realization in nature that all new particles except light higgs are heavier than $W_R^{\pm}$, Z_R^0. Even the final phenomenological investigations find inconsistencies with experiment data, which imply there does some extra particles beyond light higgs lighter than $W_R^{\pm}$, Z_R^0, the formalism developed in this work is still useful, the only change is that we need to add these extra new particles into the Lagrangian. Consider there are two much uncertainties for these extra particles, as the first step of the model independent investigation, we consider the minimal particle content required by left-right symmetry and limit the new particles in this work only in the content of light neutral higgs, left and right handed $W^{\pm}$, Z^0 and their goldstone bosons. The possible generalizations will be discussed elsewhere. The symmetry realization pattern now is generalized from original $SU(2)_{\rm L}\otimes U(1)_{\rm Y}\rightarrow U(1)_{\rm em}$ to $SU(2)_{\rm L}\otimes SU(2)_{\rm R}\otimes U(1)_{\rm B-L}\rightarrow SU(2)_{\rm L}\otimes U(1)_{\rm Y}\rightarrow U(1)_{\rm em}$. The nonlinear realization of this symmetry allows us to build up our theory with only one neutral higgs boson. For simplicity, we only discuss bosonic part of chiral Lagrangian in this work.

Let B_μ, $W^a_{L,\mu}$, $W^a_{R,\mu}$ be electroweak gauge fields ($a=1,2,3$) and two by two unitary unimodular matrices U_L and U_R be corresponding goldstone boson fields, h be neutral higgs field . Under $SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R \otimes U(1)_{B-L}$ transformations, higgs field is invariant and other fields transform as,

$$\begin{aligned} B_\mu(x) &\rightarrow B_\mu(x) - \frac{1}{g}[\partial_\mu \theta^0(x)] , \qquad U_i(x) \rightarrow e^{\frac{i}{2}\tau^a\theta_i^a(x)} U_i(x) e^{-\frac{i}{2}\tau^3\theta^0(x)} \qquad i = L, R , \\ \frac{\tau^a}{2} W^a_{i,\mu}(x) &\rightarrow e^{i\frac{\tau^b}{2}\theta_i^b(x)} \frac{\tau^a}{2} W^a_{i,\mu}(x) e^{-i\frac{\tau^c}{2}\theta_i^c(x)} - \frac{i}{g_i} e^{i\frac{\tau^b}{2}\theta_i^b(x)} \partial_\mu e^{-i\frac{\tau^c}{2}\theta_i^c(x)} . \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Covariant derivative of goldstone fields are

$$D_\mu U_i = \partial_\mu U_i + i g_i \frac{\tau^a}{2} W^a_{i,\mu} U_i - i g U_i \frac{\tau_3}{2} B_\mu \qquad i = L, R \tag{2}$$

Since naive generalization of conventional EWCL building blocks $T_i = U_i \tau_3 U_i^\dagger$ and $V_{i,\mu} = (D_\mu U_i) U_i^\dagger$ are not convenient for present discussion, we introduce alternative building blocks

$$\begin{aligned} & B_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu , \qquad X_i^\mu \equiv U_i^\dagger (D^\mu U_i) , \qquad \overline{W}_{i,\mu\nu} \equiv U_i^\dagger g_i W_{i,\mu\nu} U_i , \\ & W_{i,\mu\nu} = W^a_{i,\mu\nu} \frac{\tau^a}{2} = \partial_\mu W^a_{i,\nu} \frac{\tau^a}{2} - \partial_\nu W^a_{i,\mu} \frac{\tau^a}{2} + i g_i [W^a_{i,\mu} \frac{\tau^a}{2}, W^b_{i,\nu} \frac{\tau^b}{2}] \qquad i = L, R . \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Since the higgs field h should develop vacuum expectation value, we count it as order of p^0 in the power counting of the low energy expansion. This imply the lowest order of chiral Lagrangian is just the higgs potential

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = -V(h) \tag{4}$$

the next to leading order of the chiral Lagrangian then is p^2 of Lagrangian,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_2 = & \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu h)^2 - \frac{1}{4} f_L^2 \mathrm{tr}(X_{L,\mu} X_L^\mu) - \frac{1}{4} f_R^2 \mathrm{tr}(X_{R,\mu} X_R^\mu) + \frac{1}{2} \kappa f_L f_R \mathrm{tr}(X_L^\mu X_R^\mu) \\ & + \frac{1}{4} \beta_{L,1} f_L^2 [\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_{L,\mu})]^2 + \frac{1}{4} \beta_{R,1} f_L^2 [\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_{R,\mu})]^2 + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\beta}_1 f_L f_R [\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_{L,\mu})][\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_R^\mu)] \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

in which $f_L, f_R, \beta_{L,1}, \beta_{R,1}, \tilde{\beta}_1$ are all depend on higgs field h, but not depend on it derivative $\partial_\mu h$ or $\partial^2 h$, this is because these derivative terms increase the powers of the low energy expansion. If we take h inside of f_L and f_R be its vacuum expectation value, the result f_L and f_R take the scales of spontaneous breaking for electroweak symmetry and parity respectively. $\beta_{L,1}$, $\beta_{R,1}$ and $\tilde{\beta}_1$ are corresponding coefficients responsible for p^2 order left,right and crossing custodial symmetry violating interactions. Note the equations of motion will lead $\partial_\mu \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_i^\mu) = 0$ and then in $\mathcal{L}_2$, there should be no terms like $C_i(h)(\partial_\mu h)\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_i^\mu)$ $i = R, L$.

p^4 order Lagrangian can be divided into four parts

$$\mathcal{L}_4 = \mathcal{L}_K + \mathcal{L}_L + \mathcal{L}_{HL} + \mathcal{L}_R + \mathcal{L}_{HR} + \mathcal{L}_C \tag{6}$$

with kinetic part of p^4 order Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_K$

$$\mathcal{L}_K = -\frac{1}{4} W^a_{L,\mu\nu} W_L^{\mu\nu,a} - \frac{1}{4} W^a_{R,\mu\nu} W_R^{\mu\nu,a} - \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} \tag{7}$$

and left(right) part of p^4 order Lagrangian without differential of higgs $\mathcal{L}_i,\ i = L, R$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_i \ = \ & \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{i,1} g B_{\mu\nu} \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 \overline{W}_i^{\mu\nu}) + i\alpha_{i,2} g B_{\mu\nu} \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_i^\mu X_i^\nu) + 2i\alpha_{i,3} \mathrm{tr}(\overline{W}_{i,\mu\nu} X_i^\mu X_i^\nu) + \alpha_{i,4} [\mathrm{tr}(X_{i,\mu} X_{i,\nu})]^2 \\
& + \alpha_{i,5} [\mathrm{tr}(X_{i,\mu}^2)]^2 + \alpha_{i,6} \mathrm{tr}(X_{i,\mu} X_{i,\nu}) \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_i^\mu) \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_i^\nu) + \alpha_{i,7} \mathrm{tr}(X_{i,\mu}^2) [\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_{i,\nu})]^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{4}\alpha_{i,8} [\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 \overline{W}_{i,\mu\nu})]^2 + i\alpha_{i,9} \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 \overline{W}_{i,\mu\nu}) \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_i^\mu X_i^\nu) + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{i,10} [\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_{i,\mu}) \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_{i,\nu})]^2 \\
& + \alpha_{i,11} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_{i,\mu}) \mathrm{tr}(X_{i,\nu} \overline{W}_{i,\rho\lambda}) + 2\alpha_{i,12} \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_{i,\mu}) \mathrm{tr}(X_{i,\nu} \overline{W}_i^{\mu\nu}) \\
& + \frac{1}{4}\alpha_{i,13} g \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} B_{\mu\nu} \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 \overline{W}_{i,\rho\sigma}) + \frac{1}{8}\alpha_{i,14} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 \overline{W}_{i,\mu\nu}) \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 \overline{W}_{i,\rho\sigma})\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

$\mathcal{L}_{Hi},\ i = L, R$ are left(right) part of p^4 order Lagrangian with differential of higgs

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{Hi} \ = \ & (\partial_\mu h)\{\bar{\alpha}_{Hi,1} \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_i^\mu) \mathrm{tr}(X_{i,\nu}^2) + \bar{\alpha}_{Hi,2} \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_i^\nu) \mathrm{tr}(X_i^\mu X_{i,\nu}) + \bar{\alpha}_{Hi,3} \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_i^\nu) \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_i^\mu X_{i,\nu}) \\
& + \bar{\alpha}_{Hi,4} \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_i^\mu) [\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_{i,\nu})]^2 + i\bar{\alpha}_{Hi,5} \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_{i,\nu}) \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 \overline{W}_i^{\mu\nu}) + ig\bar{\alpha}_{Hi,6} B^{\mu\nu} \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_{i,\nu}) \\
& + i\bar{\alpha}_{Hi,7} \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 \overline{W}_i^{\mu\nu} X_{i,\nu}) + i\bar{\alpha}_{Hi,8} \mathrm{tr}(\overline{W}_i^{\mu\nu} X_{i,\nu})\} + (\partial_\mu h)(\partial_\nu h)[\bar{\alpha}_{Hi,9} \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_i^\mu) \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_i^\nu) \\
& + \bar{\alpha}_{Hi,10} \mathrm{tr}(X_i^\mu X_i^\nu)] + (\partial_\mu h)^2 \{\bar{\alpha}_{Hi,11} [\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_{i,\nu})]^2 + \bar{\alpha}_{Hi,12} \mathrm{tr}(X_{i,\nu}^2)\} \\
& + \bar{\alpha}_{Hi,13} (\partial_\mu h)^2 (\partial_\nu h) \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_i^\nu) + \bar{\alpha}_{Hi,14} (\partial_\mu h)^4
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The most complex interaction is the crossing part of p^4 order Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_C \ = \ & i\tilde{\alpha}_2 g B_{\mu\nu} \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_L^\mu X_R^\nu) + 2i\tilde{\alpha}_{3,1} \mathrm{tr}(\overline{W}_{L,\mu\nu} X_R^\mu X_R^\nu) + 2i\tilde{\alpha}_{3,2} \mathrm{tr}(\overline{W}_{R,\mu\nu} X_L^\mu X_L^\nu) \\
& + 2i\tilde{\alpha}_{3,3} \mathrm{tr}(\overline{W}_{L,\mu\nu} X_L^\mu X_R^\nu) + 2i\tilde{\alpha}_{3,4} \mathrm{tr}(\overline{W}_{R,\mu\nu} X_R^\mu X_L^\nu) + \tilde{\alpha}_{4,1} \mathrm{tr}(X_{L,\mu} X_{L,\nu}) \mathrm{tr}(X_R^\mu X_R^\nu) \\
& + \tilde{\alpha}_{4,2} [\mathrm{tr}(X_{L,\mu} X_{R,\nu})]^2 + \tilde{\alpha}_{4,3} \mathrm{tr}(X_{L,\mu} X_{R,\nu}) \mathrm{tr}(X_R^\mu X_L^\nu) + \tilde{\alpha}_{4,4} \mathrm{tr}(X_{L,\mu} X_{R,\nu}) \mathrm{tr}(X_R^\mu X_R^\nu) \\
& + \tilde{\alpha}_{4,5} \mathrm{tr}(X_{R,\mu} X_{L,\nu}) \mathrm{tr}(X_L^\mu X_L^\nu) + \tilde{\alpha}_{5,1} \mathrm{tr}(X_{L,\mu}^2) \mathrm{tr}(X_{R,\nu}^2) + \tilde{\alpha}_{5,2} [\mathrm{tr}(X_{L,\mu} X_R^\mu)]^2 \\
& + \tilde{\alpha}_{5,3} \mathrm{tr}(X_{L,\mu} X_R^\mu) \mathrm{tr}(X_{R,\nu}^2) + \tilde{\alpha}_{5,4} \mathrm{tr}(X_{R,\mu} X_L^\mu) \mathrm{tr}(X_{L,\nu}^2) \\
& + \tilde{\alpha}_{6,1} \mathrm{tr}(X_{L,\mu} X_{L,\nu}) \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_R^\mu) \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_R^\nu) + \tilde{\alpha}_{6,2} \mathrm{tr}(X_{R,\mu} X_{R,\nu}) \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_L^\mu) \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_L^\nu) \\
& + \tilde{\alpha}_{6,3} \mathrm{tr}(X_{L,\mu} X_{R,\nu}) \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_L^\mu) \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_R^\nu) + \tilde{\alpha}_{6,4} \mathrm{tr}(X_{L,\mu} X_{R,\nu}) \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_R^\mu) \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_L^\nu) \\
& + \tilde{\alpha}_{6,5} \mathrm{tr}(X_{L,\mu} X_{R,\nu}) \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_R^\mu) \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_R^\nu) + \tilde{\alpha}_{6,6} \mathrm{tr}(X_{R,\mu} X_{L,\nu}) \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_L^\mu) \mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_L^\nu)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\tilde{\alpha}_{6,7}\mathrm{tr}(X_{L,\mu}X_{L,\nu})\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_L^\mu)\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_R^\nu)+\tilde{\alpha}_{6,8}\mathrm{tr}(X_{R,\mu}X_{R,\nu})\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_R^\mu)\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_L^\nu)\\
&+\tilde{\alpha}_{7,1}\mathrm{tr}(X_{L,\mu}^2)[\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{R,\nu})]^2+\tilde{\alpha}_{7,2}\mathrm{tr}(X_{R,\mu}^2)[\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{L,\nu})]^2\\
&+\tilde{\alpha}_{7,3}\mathrm{tr}(X_{L,\mu}X_R^\mu)\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{L,\nu})\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_R^\nu)+\tilde{\alpha}_{7,4}\mathrm{tr}(X_{L,\mu}X_R^\mu)[\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{R,\nu})]^2\\
&+\tilde{\alpha}_{7,5}\mathrm{tr}(X_{R,\mu}X_L^\mu)[\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{L,\nu})]^2+\tilde{\alpha}_{7,6}\mathrm{tr}(X_{L,\mu}^2)\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{L,\nu})\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_R^\nu)\\
&+\tilde{\alpha}_{7,7}\mathrm{tr}(X_{R,\mu}^2)\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{R,\nu})\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_L^\nu)+\frac{1}{4}\tilde{\alpha}_8\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3\overline{W}_{L,\mu\nu})\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3\overline{W}_R^{\mu\nu})\\
&+i\tilde{\alpha}_{9,1}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3\overline{W}_{L,\mu\nu})\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_R^\mu X_R^\nu)+i\tilde{\alpha}_{9,2}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3\overline{W}_{R,\mu\nu})\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_L^\mu X_L^\nu)\\
&+i\tilde{\alpha}_{9,3}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3\overline{W}_{L,\mu\nu})\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_L^\mu X_R^\nu)+i\tilde{\alpha}_{9,4}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3\overline{W}_{R,\mu\nu})\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_R^\mu X_L^\nu)\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\alpha}_{10,1}[\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{L,\mu})\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{R,\nu})]^2+\frac{1}{2}[\tilde{\alpha}_{10,2}[\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{L,\mu})\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_R^\mu)]^2\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\alpha}_{10,3}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{L,\mu})\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_R^\mu)[\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{R,\nu})]^2+\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\alpha}_{10,4}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{R,\mu})\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_L^\mu)[\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{L,\nu})]^2\\
&+\tilde{\alpha}_{11,1}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{L,\mu})\mathrm{tr}(X_{R,\nu}\overline{W}_{R,\rho\lambda})+\tilde{\alpha}_{11,2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{R,\mu})\mathrm{tr}(X_{L,\nu}\overline{W}_{L,\rho\lambda})\\
&+\tilde{\alpha}_{11,3}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{L,\mu})\mathrm{tr}(X_{L,\nu}\overline{W}_{R,\rho\lambda})+\tilde{\alpha}_{11,4}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{R,\mu})\mathrm{tr}(X_{R,\nu}\overline{W}_{L,\rho\lambda})\\
&+\tilde{\alpha}_{11,5}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{L,\mu})\mathrm{tr}(X_{R,\nu}\overline{W}_{L,\rho\lambda})+\tilde{\alpha}_{11,6}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{R,\mu})\mathrm{tr}(X_{L,\nu}\overline{W}_{R,\rho\lambda})\\
&+2\tilde{\alpha}_{12,1}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{L,\mu})\mathrm{tr}(X_{R,\nu}\overline{W}_R^{\mu\nu})+2\tilde{\alpha}_{12,2}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{R,\mu})\mathrm{tr}(X_{L,\nu}\overline{W}_L^{\mu\nu})\\
&+2\tilde{\alpha}_{12,3}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{L,\mu})\mathrm{tr}(X_{L,\nu}\overline{W}_R^{\mu\nu})+2\tilde{\alpha}_{12,4}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{R,\mu})\mathrm{tr}(X_{R,\nu}\overline{W}_L^{\mu\nu})\\
&+2\tilde{\alpha}_{12,5}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{L,\mu})\mathrm{tr}(X_{R,\nu}\overline{W}_L^{\mu\nu})+2\tilde{\alpha}_{12,6}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{R,\mu})\mathrm{tr}(X_{L,\nu}\overline{W}_R^{\mu\nu})\\
&+\frac{1}{8}\tilde{\alpha}_{14}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3\overline{W}_{L,\mu\nu})\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3\overline{W}_{R,\rho\sigma})+(\partial_\mu h)\{\tilde{\alpha}_{H,1,1}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_R^\mu)\mathrm{tr}(X_{L,\nu}^2)\\
&+\tilde{\alpha}_{H,1,2}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_L^\mu)\mathrm{tr}(X_{R,\nu}^2)+\tilde{\alpha}_{H,2,1}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_R^\nu)\mathrm{tr}(X_L^\mu X_{L,\nu})\\
&+\tilde{\alpha}_{H,2,2}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_L^\nu)\mathrm{tr}(X_R^\mu X_{R,\nu})+\tilde{\alpha}_{H,3,1}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_R^\nu)\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_L^\mu X_{L,\nu})\\
&+\tilde{\alpha}_{H,3,2}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_L^\nu)\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_R^\mu X_{R,\nu})+\tilde{\alpha}_{H,4,1}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_R^\mu)[\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{L,\nu})]^2\\
&+\tilde{\alpha}_{H,4,2}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_R^\mu)\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_R^\nu)\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{L,\nu})+\tilde{\alpha}_{H,4,3}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_L^\mu)\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_R^\nu)\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{R,\nu})\\
&+\tilde{\alpha}_{H,4,4}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_L^\mu)\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_L^\nu)\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{R,\nu})+i\tilde{\alpha}_{H,5,1}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{R,\nu})\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3\overline{W}_L^{\mu\nu})\\
&+i\tilde{\alpha}_{H,5,2}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{L,\nu})\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3\overline{W}_R^{\mu\nu})\}+(\partial_\mu h)(\partial_\nu h)\tilde{\alpha}_{H,9}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_R^\mu)\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_L^\nu)\\
&+(\partial_\mu h)^2\tilde{\alpha}_{H,11}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{L,\nu})\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_R^\nu)]\ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{10}
$$

Above interaction terms already include all possible p^4 order CP-conserving and CP-violating operators and all α coefficients are functions of higgs field h. Left-right symmetry will be explicitly realized for the theory if all coefficients with subscript $_L$ are equal to their right hand partners denoted with subscript $_R$. If they are not equal to each other, the left-right symmetry is spontaneously violated by some underlying dynamics and the differences between left and right coefficients then characterize the strength of left-right symmetry violation . Starting from above Lagrangian, we can read out various vertices among electroweak gauge bosons which enable us to discuss corresponding physical processes and further continue phenomenological researches. Theoretically, we can integrate out heavy right hand fields to see their effects to ordinary EEWCL coefficients, or we can estimate the size of the coefficients from existing models.

Now we first discuss two point vertices for gauge boson fields. Taking unitary gauge $U_L = U_R = 1$ and higgs field be its vacuum expectation value $h = v$, the CP-conserving part of kinetic terms for W_L, W_R and B become

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{K,2} &= -\frac{1}{4}(\partial_\mu W^a_{L,\nu} - \partial_\nu W^a_{L,\mu})^2 - \frac{1}{4}(\partial_\mu W^a_{R,\nu} - \partial_\nu W^a_{R,\mu})^2 - \frac{1}{4}(\partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu)^2 \\ &+\frac{1}{2}g(\partial^\mu B^\nu - \partial^\nu B^\mu)[\alpha_{L,1}g_L(\partial_\mu W^3_{L,\nu} - \partial_\nu W^3_{L,\mu}) + \alpha_{R,1}g_R(\partial_\mu W^3_{R,\nu} - \partial_\nu W^3_{R,\mu})] \\ &+\frac{1}{4}\alpha_{L,8}g_L^2(\partial^\mu W_L^{3,\nu} - \partial^\nu W_L^{3,\mu})^2 + \frac{1}{4}\alpha_{R,8}g_R^2(\partial^\mu W_R^{3,\nu} - \partial^\nu W_R^{3,\mu})^2 \\ &+\frac{1}{4}\tilde{\alpha}_8 g_L g_R(\partial^\mu W_L^{3,\nu} - \partial^\nu W_L^{3,\mu})(\partial_\mu W^3_{R,\nu} - \partial_\nu W^3_{R,\mu}) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

With convention $W^{\frac{1}{2}}_{i,\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(W^+_{i,\mu} \pm W^-_{i,\mu})$, take orthogonal rotation V and some scale transformation to diagonalize the mixing among $W^3_{L,\mu}, W^3_{R,\mu}, B_\mu$ by

$$\begin{pmatrix} W^3_{L,\mu} \\ W^3_{R,\mu} \\ B_\mu \end{pmatrix} = V \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_3}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W^{3\prime}_{L,\mu} \\ W^{3\prime}_{R,\mu} \\ B'_\mu \end{pmatrix} \tag{12}$$

with orthogonal matrix V and three eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ satisfy

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1-\alpha_{L,8}g_L^2 & -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\alpha}_8 g_L g_R & -\alpha_{L,1}g_L g \\ -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\alpha}_8 g_L g_R & 1-\alpha_{R,8}g_R^2 & -\alpha_{R,1}g_R g \\ -\alpha_{L,1}g_L g & -\alpha_{R,1}g_R g & 1 \end{pmatrix} = V \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix} V^T \ . \tag{13}$$

One can check that up to order of p^4, the third eigenvalue is $\lambda_3 = 1$ and the first and second eigenvalues are $\lambda_\pm$ or $\lambda_\mp$ which are correspond to two phases of the theory

$$\lambda_\pm = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{L,8}g_L^2 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{R,8}g_R^2 \pm [\alpha_{L,1}^2 g_L^2 g^2 + \alpha_{R,1}^2 g_R^2 g^2 + \frac{1}{4}\tilde{\alpha}_8^2 g_L^2 g_R^2 + \frac{1}{4}(\alpha_{L,8}g_L^2 - \alpha_{R,8}g_R^2)^2]^{1/2} \ , \tag{14}$$

then kinetic term are normalized to standard form

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{K,2} &= -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu W^+_{L,\nu} - \partial_\nu W^+_{L,\mu})(\partial^\mu W_L^{-,\nu} - \partial^\nu W_L^{-,\mu}) - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu W^+_{R,\nu} - \partial_\nu W^+_{R,\mu})(\partial^\mu W_R^{-,\nu} - \partial^\nu W_R^{-,\mu}) \\ &-\frac{1}{4}(\partial_\mu W^{3\prime}_{L,\nu} - \partial_\nu W^{3\prime}_{L,\mu})^2 - \frac{1}{4}(\partial_\mu W^{3\prime}_{R,\nu} - \partial_\nu W^{3\prime}_{R,\mu})^2 - \frac{1}{4}(\partial_\mu B'_\nu - \partial_\nu B'_\mu)^2 \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

The mass terms from our chiral Lagrangian can be read out as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_M \;=\;& \frac{1}{2}f_L^2 g_L^2 W_{L,\mu}^{+} W_L^{-,\mu} + \frac{1}{2}f_R^2 g_R^2 W_{R,\mu}^{+} W_R^{-,\mu} - \frac{1}{2}\kappa f_L f_R g_L g_R (W_{L,\mu}^{+} W_R^{-,\mu} + W_{R,\mu}^{+} W_L^{-,\mu}) \\
&+\frac{1}{4}(1-\beta_{L,1}) f_L^2 (g_L W_{L,\mu}^3 - g B_\mu)^2 + \frac{1}{4}(1-\beta_{R,1}) f_R^2 (g_R W_{R,\mu}^3 - g B_\mu)^2 \\
&-\frac{1}{2}(\kappa+\tilde{\beta}_1) f_L f_R (g_L W_{L,\mu}^3 - g B_\mu)(g_R W_R^{3,\mu} - g B^\mu)\ . \qquad (16)
\end{aligned}
$$

Take orthogonal rotation for $W_L^\pm$ and $W_R^\pm$ as

$$
\begin{pmatrix} W_L^\pm \\ W_R^\pm \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\xi & \sin\xi \\ -\sin\xi & \cos\xi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} W_1^\pm \\ W_2^\pm \end{pmatrix} \qquad \tan 2\xi = \frac{2\kappa f_L f_R g_L g_R}{f_L^2 g_L^2 - f_R^2 g_R^2} \qquad (17)
$$

and orthogonal rotation $\tilde{V}$ to diagonalize the mixing among $W_{L,\mu}^3, W_{R,\mu}^3, B_\mu$ by

$$
\begin{pmatrix} W_{L,\mu}^3 \\ W_{R,\mu}^3 \\ B_\mu \end{pmatrix} = V\Lambda\tilde{V} \begin{pmatrix} Z_{1,\mu} \\ Z_{2,\mu} \\ A_\mu \end{pmatrix} \qquad \Lambda V^T \tilde{M}_0^2 V \Lambda = \tilde{V} \begin{pmatrix} M_{Z_1}^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M_{Z_2}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{V}^T \qquad \Lambda \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
\tilde{M}_0^2 = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{2}(1-\beta_{L,1}) f_L^2 g_L^2 & -\frac{1}{2}(\kappa+\tilde{\beta}_1) f_L f_R g_L g_R & \Big[(\beta_{L,1}-1) f_L + (\kappa+\tilde{\beta}_1) f_R\Big]\frac{f_L g_L g}{2} \\
-\frac{1}{2}(\kappa+\tilde{\beta}_1) f_L f_R g_L g_R & \frac{1}{2}(1-\beta_{R,1}) f_R^2 g_R^2 & \Big[(\beta_{R,1}-1) f_R + (\kappa+\tilde{\beta}_1) f_L\Big]\frac{f_R g_R g}{2} \\
\Big[(\beta_{L,1}-1) f_L + (\kappa+\tilde{\beta}_1) f_R\Big]\frac{f_L g_L g}{2} & \Big[(\beta_{R,1}-1) f_R + (\kappa+\tilde{\beta}_1) f_L\Big]\frac{f_R g_R g}{2} & \Big[\frac{1-\beta_{L,1}}{2} f_L^2 + \frac{1-\beta_{R,1}}{2} f_R^2 - (\kappa+\tilde{\beta}_1) f_L f_R\Big] g^2
\end{pmatrix} .
$$

The mass term then is diagonalized as

$$
\mathcal{L}_M \;=\; M_{W_1}^2 W_1^{+\mu} W_{1,\mu}^- + M_{W_2}^2 W_2^{+\mu} W_{2,\mu}^- + \frac{1}{2} M_{Z_1}^2 Z_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} M_{Z_2}^2 Z_2^2 \qquad (18)
$$

with masses

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{W_1}^2 \;=\;& \frac{1}{4}\Big[f_L^2 g_L^2 + f_R^2 g_R^2 - \sqrt{(f_L^2 g_L^2 - f_R^2 g_R^2)^2 + 4\kappa^2 f_L^2 f_R^2 g_L^2 g_R^2}\Big] \approx \frac{1}{2} f_L^2 g_L^2 (1-\kappa^2)(1-\kappa^2 \frac{f_L^2 g_L^2}{f_R^2 g_R^2})\ , \\
M_{W_2}^2 \;=\;& \frac{1}{4}\Big[f_L^2 g_L^2 + f_R^2 g_R^2 + \sqrt{(f_L^2 g_L^2 - f_R^2 g_R^2)^2 + 4\kappa^2 f_L^2 f_R^2 g_L^2 g_R^2}\Big] \approx \frac{1}{2} f_R^2 g_R^2 [1+\kappa^2 \frac{f_L^2 g_L^2}{f_R^2 g_R^2}] \qquad (19) \\
M_{Z_1}^2 \;=\;& \frac{f_L^2[(1-\beta_{L,1})(1-\beta_{R,1}) - (\kappa+\tilde{\beta}_1)^2]}{2(1-\beta_{R,1})(g_R^2+g^2)} \times [g_R^2 g^2 + g_L^2 g_R^2 + g_L^2 g^2 \\
&-2\alpha_{L,1}(g_R^2+g^2) g_L^2 g_R^2 g^2 + 2 g_R^4 g^4 \alpha_{R,1} + \alpha_{L,8} g_L^4 (g_R^2+g^2)^2 + \alpha_{R,8} g_R^4 g^4 - \alpha_8 (g_R^2+g^2) g_L^2 g_R^2 g^2] \\
M_{Z_2}^2 \;=\;& \frac{1}{2}[(1-\beta_{R,1}) f_R^2 (g_R^2+g^2) - 2 f_L f_R g^2 (\kappa+\beta_1) + (1-\beta_{L,1}) f_L^2 (g_L^2+g^2)] \\
&-\alpha_{L,1} g^2 g_L^2 [f_L^2 (1-\beta_{L,1}) - f_L f_R (\kappa+\beta_1)] - \alpha_{R,1} g^2 g_R^2 [f_R^2 (1-\beta_{R,1}) - f_L f_R (\kappa+\beta_1)] \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{L,8} g_L^4 f_L^2 (1-\beta_{L,1}) + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{R,8} g_R^4 f_R^2 (1-\beta_{R,1}) - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_8 g_L^2 g_R^2 f_L f_R (\kappa+\beta_1) - M_{Z_1}^2\ .
\end{aligned}
$$

where to reduce the length of the formulae, $M^2_{Z_1}$ and $M^2_{Z_2}$ are only accurate up to order of f_L^3/f_R. Note all α, β, κ and f coefficients appeared in (17) and (19) are their values in $h = v$.

The right hand gauge bosons are expected to be heavy and below their thresholds the interactions among remaining left hand gauge bosons should be described by conventional EWCL for which the effective interactions will receive the contribution from right hand gauge bosons, we can compute these contributions by integrating out right hand gauge boson fields. In the following, we make the lowest order estimations by just subtracting out right hand gauge boson fields with their classical solution of tree order field equations. This treatment ignores the loop contributions and only include in effects from exchanging the right hand gauge boson at tree Feynman diagrams. Loop effects usually are suppressed by a factor $1/16\pi^2$ and will be discussed in future.

Before dealing with right hand gauge boson fields, we first handle three right hand goldstone bosons by simply taking unitary gauge $U_R = I$. Note this unitary gauge can always be realized by taking suitable right hand gauge transformations. Then the p^2 order equations of motion for $W^{1,2}_{R,\mu}$ give solution $ig_R W^{1,2}_{R,\mu} = \delta_1 X^{1,2}_{L,\mu}$ and equation for $W^3_{R,\mu}$ give solution $ig_R W^3_{R,\mu} = igB_\mu + \delta_2 tr[\tau^3 X_{L,\mu}]$ with

$$\delta_1 \equiv \kappa\frac{f_L}{f_R}\;, \qquad\qquad \delta_2 \equiv \frac{(2\tilde{\beta}_1+\kappa)f_L f_R}{(f_R^2 - 2\beta_{R,1}f_L^2)}\;. \tag{20}$$

Substitute the solution back to our chiral Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_2+\mathcal{L}_4$, after some algebra, we recover standard EEWCL developed in Ref.[3] with expression

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\text{low energy}} \;=\; & -V(h) + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu h)^2 - \frac{1}{4}f^2\mathrm{tr}(X^2_{L,\mu}) + \frac{1}{4}\beta_1 f^2[\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_{L,\mu})]^2 - \frac{1}{4}W^a_{L,\mu\nu}W^{\mu\nu,a}_L \\
& -\frac{1}{4}K_B B^2_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1 g B_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3\overline{W}^{\mu\nu}_i) + i\alpha_2 g B_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X^\mu_L X^\nu_L) + 2i\alpha_3\mathrm{tr}(\overline{W}_{L,\mu\nu}X^\mu_L X^\nu_L) \\
& +\alpha_4[\mathrm{tr}(X_{L,\mu}X_{L,\nu})]^2 + \alpha_5[\mathrm{tr}(X^2_{L,\mu})]^2 + \alpha_6\mathrm{tr}(X_{L,\mu}X_{L,\nu})\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X^\mu_L)\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X^\nu_L) \\
& +\alpha_7\mathrm{tr}(X^2_{L,\mu})[\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_{L,\nu})]^2 + \frac{1}{4}\alpha_8[\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3\overline{W}_{L,\mu\nu})]^2 + i\alpha_9\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3\overline{W}_{L,\mu\nu})\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X^\mu_L X^\nu_L) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{10}[\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_{L,\mu})\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_{L,\nu})]^2 + \alpha_{11}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_{L,\mu})\mathrm{tr}(X_{L,\nu}\overline{W}_{L,\rho\lambda}) \\
& +2\alpha_{12}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_{L,\mu})\mathrm{tr}(X_{L,\nu}\overline{W}^{\mu\nu}_L) + \frac{1}{4}\alpha_{13}g\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}B_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3\overline{W}_{L,\rho\sigma}) \\
& +\frac{1}{8}\alpha_{14}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3\overline{W}_{L,\mu\nu})\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3\overline{W}_{L,\rho\sigma}) + (\partial_\mu h)\{\alpha_{H,1}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X^\mu_L)\mathrm{tr}(X^2_{L,\nu}) \\
& +\alpha_{H,2}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X^\nu_L)\mathrm{tr}(X^\mu_L X_{L,\nu}) + \alpha_{H,3}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X^\nu_L)\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X^\mu_L X_{L,\nu}) \\
& +\alpha_{H,4}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X^\mu_L)[\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_{L,\nu})]^2 + i\alpha_{H,5}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_{L,\nu})\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3\overline{W}^{\mu\nu}_L) + ig\alpha_{H,6}B^{\mu\nu}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3 X_{L,\nu})
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+i\alpha_{H,7}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3\overline{W}_L^{\mu\nu}X_{L,\nu})+i\alpha_{H,8}\mathrm{tr}(\overline{W}_L^{\mu\nu}X_{L,\nu})\}+(\partial_\mu h)(\partial_\nu h)[\alpha_{H,9}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_L^\mu)\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_L^\nu)\\
&+\alpha_{H,10}\mathrm{tr}(X_L^\mu X_L^\nu)\}+(\partial_\mu h)^2\{\alpha_{H,11}[\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_{L,\nu})]^2+\alpha_{H,12}\mathrm{tr}(X_{L,\nu}^2)\}\\
&+\alpha_{H,13}(\partial_\mu h)^2(\partial_\nu h)\mathrm{tr}(\tau^3X_L^\nu)+\alpha_{H,14}(\partial_\mu h)^4 \qquad (21)
\end{aligned}
$$

p^2 order coefficients expressed in terms of those parameters appeared in $\mathcal{L}_2$ as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^2 &= f_L^2(1-3\kappa^2)\ , \qquad (22)\\
\beta_1 &= \frac{\beta_{L,1}+\beta_{R,1}\tilde{\delta}_2^2+(2\tilde{\beta}_1+\kappa)\tilde{\delta}_2-\tilde{\delta}_2^2-\frac{1}{2}\kappa^2}{1-3\kappa^2}\ \overset{f_L\ll f_R}{--\longrightarrow}\ \frac{\beta_{L,1}+\beta_{R,1}(2\tilde{\beta}_1+\kappa)^2-\frac{1}{2}\kappa^2}{1-3\kappa^2}\ . \qquad (23)
\end{aligned}
$$

with parameter $\tilde{\delta}_2\equiv\frac{(2\tilde{\beta}_1+\kappa)f_R^2}{(f_R^2-2\beta_{R,1}f_L^2)}$. Further computations give all p^4 order coefficients of EWCL from $\mathcal{L}_2$ and $\mathcal{L}_4$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_B &= 1+(\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{R,1}+\frac{1}{4}\alpha_{R,8})(1+\delta_1-2\delta_2)\\
\alpha_1 &= \alpha_{L,1}+\alpha_{R,1}\delta_2+\alpha_{R,8}\delta_2+\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\alpha}_8(1+\delta_1-2\delta_2)\ ,\\
\alpha_2 &= \alpha_{L,2}-\alpha_{R,1}(\delta_1-2\delta_2)-\alpha_{R,8}(\delta_1-2\delta_2)+\tilde{\alpha}_2\delta_1+(\tilde{\alpha}_{3,2}+\tilde{\alpha}_{9,2})(1+\delta_1-2\delta_2)\\
&\quad+\tilde{\alpha}_{3,4}\delta_1+\tilde{\alpha}_{9,4}\delta_1\ ,\\
\alpha_3 &= \alpha_{L,3}+\tilde{\alpha}_{3,2}\delta_1+\tilde{\alpha}_{3,3}\frac{\delta_2}{2}-\tilde{\alpha}_{3,4}(\frac{\delta_1}{2}-\frac{\delta_2}{2})\ ,\\
\alpha_4 &= \alpha_{L,4}+\tilde{\alpha}_{4,5}\delta_1+4\tilde{\alpha}_{3,2}(\delta_1-\delta_2)+\tilde{\alpha}_{9,2}(2\delta_1-4\delta_2)-4\tilde{\alpha}_{3,2}\delta_1\ ,\\
\alpha_5 &= \alpha_{L,5}+\tilde{\alpha}_{5,4}\delta_1-4\tilde{\alpha}_{3,2}(\delta_1-\delta_2)-\tilde{\alpha}_{9,2}(2\delta_1-4\delta_2)+4\tilde{\alpha}_{3,2}\delta_1\ ,\\
\alpha_6 &= \alpha_{L,6}+\tilde{\alpha}_{4,5}(\frac{\delta_2}{2}-\frac{\delta_1}{2})+\tilde{\alpha}_{6,6}\delta_1+\tilde{\alpha}_{6,7}\delta_2-4\tilde{\alpha}_{3,2}(\delta_1-\delta_2)-\tilde{\alpha}_{9,2}(2\delta_1-4\delta_2)\ ,\\
\alpha_7 &= \alpha_{L,7}+\tilde{\alpha}_{5,4}(\frac{\delta_2}{2}-\frac{\delta_1}{2})+\tilde{\alpha}_{7,5}\delta_1+\tilde{\alpha}_{7,6}\delta_2+4\tilde{\alpha}_{3,2}(\delta_1-\delta_2)+\tilde{\alpha}_{9,2}(2\delta_1-4\delta_2)\ ,\\
\alpha_8 &= \alpha_{L,8}+\tilde{\alpha}_8\delta_2\ ,\\
\alpha_9 &= \alpha_{L,9}+\tilde{\alpha}_{9,3}\delta_1+\tilde{\alpha}_{9,2}\delta_2-\frac{1}{4}\tilde{\alpha}_8(2\delta_1-4\delta_2)+\tilde{\alpha}_{3,2}(-\delta_1+\delta_2)-\tilde{\alpha}_{3,3}\frac{\delta_2}{2}+\tilde{\alpha}_{3,4}(\frac{\delta_1}{2}-\frac{\delta_2}{2})\ ,\\
\alpha_{10} &= \alpha_{L,10}+\tilde{\alpha}_{6,6}(\delta_2-\delta_1)+\tilde{\alpha}_{7,5}(\delta_2-\delta_1)\ ,\\
\alpha_{11} &= \alpha_{L,11}+\tilde{\alpha}_{11,2}\delta_2+\tilde{\alpha}_{11,5}\delta_1+\tilde{\alpha}_{11,3}\delta_1\ ,\\
\alpha_{12} &= \alpha_{L,12}+\tilde{\alpha}_{12,2}\delta_2+\tilde{\alpha}_{12,5}\delta_1\ ,\\
\alpha_{13} &= \alpha_{L,13}+\alpha_{R,13}(\delta_1-\delta_2)+\alpha_{R,14}(\delta_1-\delta_2)+4\alpha_{R,15}\delta_2-\frac{1}{8}\tilde{\alpha}_{14}(2\delta_1-4\delta_2)\\
&\quad+2\tilde{\alpha}_{15}\delta_2+(\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\alpha}_{14}+2\tilde{\alpha}_{15})(1+\delta_1-2\delta_2)\ ,\\
\alpha_{14} &= \alpha_{L,14}+\tilde{\alpha}_{14}\delta_2+4\tilde{\alpha}_{15}(-\delta_1+\delta_2)\ , \qquad (24)\\
\alpha_{H,1} &= \delta_2\tilde{\alpha}_{H,1,1}\ ,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{H,2} &= \delta_2\tilde{\alpha}_{H,2,1} \ , \\
\alpha_{H,3} &= \delta_2\tilde{\alpha}_{H,3,1} + \tilde{\alpha}_{H,5,2}(2\delta_1 - 4\delta_2) \ , \\
\alpha_{H,5} &= \tilde{\alpha}_{H,5,2}\delta_2 \ , \\
\alpha_{H,6} &= \delta_2\bar{\alpha}_{HR,5} + g\tilde{\alpha}_{H,5,2}(1 + \delta_1 - 2\delta_2) + g\delta_2\bar{\alpha}_{HR,6} + \delta_2\tilde{\alpha}_{H,5,1} + \bar{\alpha}_{HR,8}\delta_1\frac{g}{2} \ , \\
\alpha_{H,9} &= \delta_2\tilde{\alpha}_{H,9,1} \ , \\
\alpha_{H,4} &= \alpha_{H,7} = \alpha_{H,8} = \alpha_{H,10} = \alpha_{H,11} = \alpha_{H,12} = 0 \ , \\
\alpha_{H,13} &= \delta_2\bar{\alpha}_{HR,13}
\end{aligned}$$

where to avoid the lengthy expressions, we ignore terms of order $\alpha\delta^2$ and $\alpha\delta^3$ in p^4 order results. In terms of above results, we can read out corrections to well known parameter S, T, U [6] from general right hand gauge boson fields with help of their relation to $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_8$ given in Ref.[3],

$$\Delta S = -16\pi\Delta\alpha_1 = -16\pi[\alpha_{R,1}\delta_2 + \alpha_{R,8}\delta_2 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\alpha}_8(1 + \delta_1 - 2\delta_2)] \ , \tag{25}$$

$$\Delta\alpha_{\rm em}T = 2\Delta\beta_1 = \frac{2\beta_{R,1}\tilde{\delta}_2^2 + 2(2\tilde{\beta}_1 + \kappa)\tilde{\delta}_2 - 2\tilde{\delta}_2^2 - \kappa^2}{1 - 3\kappa^2} \ , \tag{26}$$

$$\Delta U = -16\pi\Delta\alpha_8 = -16\pi\tilde{\alpha}_8\delta_2 \ . \tag{27}$$

We see, if right hand gauge boson is much heavier than the left hand ones $f_R \gg f_L$, S and T parameters will receive corrections of $-8\pi\tilde{\alpha}_8$ and $[2\beta_{R,1}(2\tilde{\beta}_1 + \kappa)^2 - \kappa^2]/\alpha_{\rm em}(1 - 3\kappa^2)$, while U parameter will have no correction. In this approximation of $f_R \gg f_L$, for general triple vertices among electroweak gauge fields

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathcal{L}_{WWV}}{g_{WWV}} &= ig_1^V(W^+_{\mu\nu}W^{-\mu}V^\nu - W^-_{\mu\nu}W^{+\mu}V^\nu) + i\kappa_V W^+_\mu W^-_\nu V^{\mu\nu} - g_4^V W^+_\mu W^-_\nu(\partial^\mu V^\nu + \partial^\nu V^\mu) \\
&\quad + g_5^V\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}[W^+_\mu(\partial_\rho W^-_\nu) - (\partial_\rho W^+_\mu)W^-_\nu]V_\lambda + i\tilde{\kappa}_V W^+_\mu W^-_\nu\tilde{V}^{\mu\nu} \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

with the relation between anomalous couplings of triple vertices and EWCL coefficients given in Ref.[3], we find following constraints for corrections from right hand gauge boson fields,

$$\Delta g_1^Z = \Delta\kappa_Z + \frac{s^2}{c^2}\Delta\kappa_\gamma = \frac{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{\rm em}\Delta T - \frac{e^2}{16\pi c^2}\Delta S}{c^2 - s^2} \qquad \Delta g_5^Z = \Delta g_4^Z = 0 \qquad c^2\Delta\tilde{\kappa}_Z = -s^2\Delta\tilde{\kappa}_\gamma \tag{29}$$

Further, with same approximation, the right hand gauge boson fields contribute to general anomalous quartic vertices with

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\mathcal{L}^{\rm QGV} &= \Delta g_1^Z\{\frac{e^{*2}c^2}{4\sin^2\theta_W}[W^+_\mu W^{+\mu}W^-_\nu W^{-\nu} - (W^+_\mu W^{-\mu})^2] + 2e^{*2}\cot^2\theta_W[W^+_\mu Z^\mu W^-_\nu Z^\nu \\
&\quad - W^+_\mu W^{-\mu}Z_\nu Z^\nu] + e^{*2}\cot\theta_W[(W^+_\mu W^-_\nu + W^+_\nu W^-_\mu)Z^\mu A^\nu - W^+_\mu W^{-\mu}Z_\nu A^\nu]\} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

In general, we find the contribution of our chiral Lagrangian for left-right symmetric models to EWCL coefficient α_i can be divided into three parts: the first is the primary term $\alpha_{L,i}$ which comes from original left hand gauge boson interaction; the second is the term independent of δ and linear in α, only $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_{13}$ receive such kind corrections with values of $\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\alpha}_8, \tilde{\alpha}_{3,2}+\tilde{\alpha}_{9,2}, \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\alpha}_{14}+2\tilde{\alpha}_{15}$ respectively and all other coefficients do not have such kind terms; the third term is the term not only linear in α, but also proportional to δ. Since δ is order of f_L/f_R which is a small number when right hand interaction scale is heavier than the left hand interaction scale, the third term is smaller in orders than the second term. Although due to lack detail information on size of all those parameters in $\mathcal{L}_2$ and $\mathcal{L}_4$, we can not estimate their contributions to EWCL coefficients quantitatively, qualitatively we can judge that only $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_{13}$ receive relatively large contributions of order α from exchanging virtual right hand gauge bosons, all other coefficients will only receive much smaller corrections of order $\alpha * f_L/f_R$.

To summarize, we have set up the most general electroweak chiral Lagrangian for left-right symmetric models up to order of p^4 and discuss the gauge boson masses and mixings. The contributions to conventional EWCL coefficients from right hand gauge boson as virtual particle are estimated.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by National Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grant No. 10435040 and Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of High Education of China.

---

[1] L-M.Wang, Q.Wang, hep-ph/0605104

[2] T.Appelquist and C.Bernard, Phys. Rev. **D22**, 200(1980);
A.Longhitano, Phys. Rev. **D22**, 1166(1980); Nucl. Phys. **B188**,118(1981)

[3] T.Appelquist and G-H. Wu, Phys. Rev. **D48**, 3235(1993); **D51**, 240(1995)

[4] P.Duka, J.Gluza and M. Zralek, Ann. Phys. **280**, 336(2000) and references therein;
F.Siringa and Luca Marotta, Phys. Rev. **D74**, 115001(2006) and references therein.

[5] R.S.Chivukula, D.A.Dicus, H-J.He, Phys. Lett. **B525**, 175(2002)

[6] M.E.Peskin and T.Takeuchi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 964(1990); Phys. Rev. **D46**, 381(1992)

[7] B.Brahmachari, E.Ma and U.Sarkar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 011801(2003);
F.M.L. Almeida, Jr., Y.A. Coutinho, J.A. Martins Simoes, J. Ponciano, A.J. Ramalho, Stenio Wulck, M.A.B. Vale, Eur. Phys. J. **C38**, 115(2004)

[8] E.Bagan, D.Espriu and J.Manzano, Phys. Rev. **D60**, 114035(1999)